## 

1 2 3	Neil M. Kliebenstein (#226060) Erin Chance (#331431) BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP 1741 Technology Drive, Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95110-1364 Telephone: (408) 279-5393		
4	Facsimile: (408) 279-5845 Neil.kliebenstein@bowmanandbrooke.com		
5	Erin.chance@bowmanandbrooke.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendant Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	JOHN BROWN,	) Case No. 2:21-cv-00392-JAM-DMC	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STABLISH DEFENDANT TAKEUCHI	
12	vs.	) MFG. CO. (U.S.), LTD'S DEADLINE TO ) RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT	
13	TAKEUCHI MFG. CO. (U.S.), LTD, et al.		
14	Defendant(s).	Action Filed: March 3, 2021	
15		) )	
16	WHEREAS, on March 12, 2021, Plaintiff caused a copy of the Summons and Complaint		
17	in the above-entitled action to be served on Defendant Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd.		
18	(hereinafter "Takeuchi U.S.");		
19	WHEREAS, a process server has attested she served on Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd.'s		
20	agent for service of process a Summons indicating a response was due in 21 says;		
21	WHEREAS, the Summons delivered to Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd. indicated the		
22	response was due in 90 days, even though Takeuchi U.S. was served in California;		
23	WHEREAS, the Summons delivered to Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd.'s as prepared by		
24	plaintiff was "TO: Takeuchi Mfg. Co.", and at some point "(U.S.), Ltd, a Foreign Entity" was		
25	written onto the Summons (See Exhibit A).		
26	WHEREAS, the Plaintiff and Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd. want to agree on a response		
27	date, avoid unnecessary arguments about service on Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd. and provide		
28	an adequate time for Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd. to respond to the Complaint;		
	24085285	1 2:21-CV-00392-JAM-DMC	
		D DEFENDANT TAKEUCHI MFG. CO. (U.S.),	

## 

1	ACCORDINGLY	IT IS SO STIPULATED, by and between the parties and	
2	through their counsel, as follows:		
3	1. Takeuchi Mfg. Co.	(U.S.), Ltd. Takeuchi U.S.'s deadline to respond to the	
4	Complaint is May	17, 2021;	
5	2. Takeuchi Mfg. Co.	Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd. will not move to dismiss for improper service, but	
6	is not waiving any	other defenses.	
7	Dated: March 29, 2021	BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP	
8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
9			
10		<u>/S/ Neil M. Kliebenstein</u> Neil M. Kliebenstein	
		Erin Chance	
11		Attorneys for Defendant Takeuchi Mfg. Co. (U.S.), Ltd.	
12		Tukeuein Mig. Co. (O.D.), Liu.	
13			
14	Dated: March 29, 2021	ACQUEST LAW, INC.	
15			
16		/S/ Nareshwar Singh Virdi	
17		Nareshwar Singh Virdi Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18		John Brown	
19			
	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	II IS SO ORDERED.		
21	Data da Marral 20, 2021	/-/ T-1 A M 1	
22	Dated: March 29, 2021	/s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ	
23		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	24085285 STIPULATION AND ORDER	2 2:21-CV-00392-JAM-DMC TO EXTEND DEFENDANT TAKEUCHI MFG. CO. (U.S.),	

LTD'S DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT